

# MADIBENG NEWS



Madibeng Local Municipality



@madibenglocalmu



Madibeng Local Municipality



31 MAY 2022

OFFICIAL NEWSLETTER OF MADIBENG LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

SPECIAL EDITION

## OVERSIGHT REPORT ON ANNUAL REPORT FOR 2020/2021 FINANCIAL YEAR



**CLLR SECHABA SEKHOTO**  
CHAIRPERSON: MUNICIPAL PUBLIC  
ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE (MPAC)

*"Madibeng, the Prosperous Platinum and Green Tourism City"*





TABLE OF CONTENTS		
ITEM	ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE
1	FOREWORD OF THE CHAIRPERSON	3
2	REPORT TO COUNCIL	3
3	2020/21 MPAC RECOMMENDATIONS	3
4	INTRODUCTION	5
5	LEGISLATIVE MANDATE FOR THE OVERSIGHT REPORT	5
6	2020/21 OVERSIGHT ON THE ANNUAL REPORT PROCESS PLAN	5
7	ANNUAL REPORT CHECK LIST	5
8	COMMENTS ON THE COMPONENTS OF THE ANNUAL REPORT	6
9	QUESTIONS AND REPONSES ON THE ANNUAL REPORT	8
	9.1 Management comments	8
	9.2 Councillors and ward committee inputs	8
	9.3 Public & interested parties comments on the annual report	8
10	2020/21 PROJECTS SITE INSPECTION REPORTS	18
11	MFMA SECTION 32 REPORT	18

# NAMES OF MUNICIPAL PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE MEMBERS

The committee has thirteen (13) members proportionally representing political parties in Council as follows:

NO	NAME OF COUNCIL	DESIGNATION	GENDER
1	CLLR. S.S SEKHOTO	CHAIRPERSON	MALE
2	CLLR. K.S KOMAPE	ORDINARY MEMBER	MALE
3	CLLR. E.D.F LOURENS	ORDINARY MEMBER	FEMALE
4	CLLR. J.MMAMABOLO	COMMITTEE WHIP	MALE
5	CLLR. T.MOKWENA	ORDINARY MEMBER	MALE
6	CLLR. M.S MOLOI	ORDINARY MEMBER	MALE
7	CLLR. D MMAMOGWE	ORDINARY MEMBER	FEMALE
8	CLLR. K.MORARE	ORDINARY MEMBER	MALE
9	CLLR. R.P PADI	ORDINARY MEMBER	MALE
10	CLLR. S. MONNAKGOTLA	ORDINARY MEMBER	MALE
11	CLLR. M.MOTLAHABANE	ORDINARY MEMBER	FEMALE
12	CLLR. E.VAN DER SCHYFF	ORDINARY MEMBER	MALE
13	CLLR. SIBANDA	ORDINARY MEMBER	MALE

The committee has four (4) support staff officials appointed permanently and reports administratively to the Deputy Director Monitoring and evaluation.

NO	NAME OF COUNCIL	DESIGNATION	GENDER
1	MS. E T MOLEFE	MPAC MANAGER	FEMALE
2	MR. K N POO	COMPLIANCE OFFICER:FINANCE	MALE
3	MR. P M MAAKE	COMPLIANACE OFFICER:LEGAL	MALE
4	MR JM KODISANG	ADMIN ASSISTANT	MALE

## 1. FOREWORD OF THE CHAIRPERSON

Honourable Speaker of Council; Cllr Ditshego Mbezi  
Honourable Executive Mayor; Cllr Douglas Maimane  
Honourable Single Whip; Cllr Elsie Kgautle  
Honourable Members of the Mayoral Committee  
Chairpersons of Portfolio Committees and Section 79 Committees  
Honourable Councillors  
Honourable Members of our Traditional Houses  
Acting Municipal Manager  
Senior Managers of the Municipality  
Office of the Auditor General South Africa  
Badudi ba Madibeng kaofela

Ladies and Gentlemen  
  
Madume ke seAfrika  
  
It is such a privilege to stand before this august house and in order to say Dumelang  
  
Goie more, Good morning, Molweni, Avuxeni  
  
  
Honourable speaker, It gives me such pleasure to stand before this house the purpose of tabling the Oversight report on the 2020/2021 Annual report. Let me submit our heartfelt gratitude to members of this Council for showing trust to each and every member of the Committee by appointing them to serve. Honourable Speaker and Honourable members of the house, believe me when I say your trust in this Committee has not gone unnoticed. Our committee will we pay you back through hard work and tangible results. We commit to put all our energies to putting our Municipality back on track. Honourable Speaker, we commit, knowing very well that it is not going to be easy. There will be many challenges to overcome. We will go to

war, in order to rid our Municipality of corruption and maleficence. Our approach is informed by the simple value of caring for our communities and putting their interests first.

Honourable Speaker, Honourable Councillors, we will do our best to always act in the interest of this institution. We will be against no one, we shall favour only the Laws that governs institution. The committee will carry on with the work carried by our predecessors. We shall ensure that we keep our eyes firmly on the ball. Nothing should escape our radar. Again, Honourable speaker, I wish to emphasize that we that we are against no one but for the rule of law.

### 3. MPAC RECOMMENDATIONS

Below is a table for other matters referred to MPAC for further investigation during the 2020/2021 financial year

20/07/16	MPAC.0068	3rd oversight report for financial year 2017/2018 (October - December)	That cognisance be taken of the report	Finalised
20/07/16	MPAC.0069	4th oversight report for financial year 2018/2019 (January - March)	That cognisance be taken of the report	Finalised
20/07/16	MPAC.0070	1st oversight report for financial year 2018/2019 (April - June)	That cognisance be taken of the report	Finalised
20/07/16	MPAC.0071	2nd oversight report for the financial year 2019/2020 (July - September)	That the report be adopted	Finalised
20/07/16	MPAC.0072	3rd oversight report for financial year 2017/2018 (October - December)	That cognisance be taken of the report	Finalised
20/07/16	MPAC.0073	4th oversight report for financial year 2019/2020 (January - March)	That cognisance be taken of the report	Finalised
20/07/16	MPAC.0074	1st oversight report for financial year 2019/2020 (April - June)	That cognisance be taken of the report	Finalised
20/07/16	MPAC.0075	Revision of the terms of reference and annual work programme of MPAC for 2020/2021 financial year	That cognisance be taken of the report	Finalised
20/07/16	MPAC.0076	adoption of the oversight report on the annual report for the financial year 2018/2019	That cognisance be taken of the report. That the report be referred to Council for consideration and adoption	Finalised
	MPAC.0077	report on feedback on MPAC Questions to the Accounting Officer on the 2018/2019 Annual Report	meeting on the item did not sit. Meeting postponed. Item not discussed, meeting did not take place	Finalised
20/11/20	MPAC.0078	report on the submission of irregular, fruitless, wasteful, unforeseen and unavoidable expenditure for the financial years 2017/2018 and 2018/2019	That cognisance be taken of the report. That Municipal Manager re table item ADMIN.0102 as per MFMA Circular 68 in the next Council sitting. That a report be submitted to council in 2021 on the processes to be followed to investigate UIF&W expenditure	Finalised
21/01/21	MPAC.0079	2nd and 3rd quarter Municipal Public Accounts Committee reports for 2020/2021	that cognisance be taken of the reports. That quarterly reports be adopted	Finalised
21/02/18	MPAC.0080	report on the post audit action plan to address the 2018/2019 financial year audit report issues as raised by the auditor general	That cognisance be taken of the report. Of concerns raised by members regarding meter reading, bank reconciliation and unlawful bank debit orders. That the outstanding creditors reports be presented quarterly to MPAC for consideration and further scrutiny. That the actual bank reconciliation and detailed creditors report be submitted monthly to MPAC for perusal and consideration. That the CFO provide MPAC office with a list of unlawful bank debit orders. that a report on cost benefit analysis between appointing officials and outstanding meter reading contracts be submitted to MPAC	Finalised



21/02/18	MPAC.0081	report on the IDP review processes and monitoring for the financial year 2021/2022	That cognisance be taken of the report. The outstanding creditors report be presented quarterly to MPAC. That the actual bank reconciliation and detailed creditors report be submitted to MPAC. That the CFO provide MPAC with a list of unlawful bank debit orders	still in progress
21/03/18	MPAC.0082	report on unauthorised expenditure (Human Settlement Employee Related Benefits) for the 2018-19 financial year	The committee recommended to council a write off of R 8 714 525 incurred in the 2018/2019 financial year in line with section 32(2)(a)(ii). That the accounting officer submit a detailed report after investigation in the next MPAC meeting. That in future, the accounting officer reports to council to preform budget adjustment to authorise unauthorised expenditure	Finalised
21/05/21	MPAC.0083	report on fruitless and wasteful expenditure for the 2018/2019 financial year	That the acting municipal manager investigate and report back to the next MPAC meeting. That the acting Municipal Manager investigate the non-implementation of Council resolution A.0230	Finalised
21/03/18	MPAC.0084	Water and electricity meter reading cost benefit analysis	That this item be considered in the next contact meeting to be convened	pending
21/05/21	MPAC.0085	MPAC 3rd quarter report for the 2020/21 financial year	That cognisance be taken of the report. That the committee adopt the report and note the attendance of committee members. That the CFO submit the bank reconciliation and detailed creditors report in the next MPAC meeting	Finalised
21/05/21	MPAC.0086	Revision of the terms of reference and annual work programme of the Municipal Public Accounts Committee (MPAC) for 2021-22 Financial year	That cognisance be taken of the need to revise the terms of reference and annual work plan of MPAC on annual basis. That MPAC review its current terms of reference and annual work plan as required. That the terms of reference and the annual work plan for the MPAC for the 2021/2022 financial year be adopted	Finalised
21/05/21	MPAC.0087	Report on the progress of the unauthorised, irregular, fruitless and wasteful expenditure investigation	That cognisance be taken of the report. That the status quo on the UIF&W investigation be a standing item on MPAC monthly and report quarterly to council	Finalised
21/05/21	MPAC.0088	Progress report on the investigation report by the Municipal Manager on Human Settlement Department for 2018/19 financial year	That cognisance be taken of the report. That the expenditure of R 8 714 525,00 be recommended for write off in line with section 32(2)(a)(ii) of the MFMA as the Municipality did not suffer any loss. That the recommendations in the investigation report be supported	Finalised
21/06/09	MPAC.0089	Report on preparation for public participation program on oversight on annual report for the financial year 2019/2020	Take cognisance of the report. That Acting Municipal Manager be part of the public participation process to answer questions from the community in line with section 129(2)(a) of the MFMA. That Acting Municipal Manager place another advert in the newspapers to incorporate and clarify procedures to be followed for public participation	Finalised
21/06/09	MPAC.0090	Report on fruitless and wasteful expenditure for the 2018/19 year	The item be referred back. The report to be resubmitted for consideration during the meeting to be held on Thursday, 2021-07-22	pending



The municipality adopts a Municipal calendar for council and committees of council as part of its operational plan. 11 meetings were approved as per Council calendar. The Municipal Public Accounts Committee (MPAC) could not convene all its meetings in line with the adopted calendar again this financial year due to non attendance of some members of the committee, the Accounting officer together with relevant directors and preparation for local government elections. Six (6) out of the eleven (11) planned meetings were successful. Attached are minutes of the successful meetings as **ANNEXURE B**.

#### 4. INTRODUCTION

##### PURPOSE

The Oversight Report is the final major step in the annual reporting process of a municipality. Section 129 of the Municipal Finance Management Act, 56 of 2003 requires the council to consider the annual report of its municipality and to adopt an oversight report containing the council's comments on the annual report. The purpose of the annual report is to:

- ☐ Provide a record of the activities of the municipality;
- ☐ Provide a report on performance in service delivery and against the budget;
- ☐ Provide information that supports the revenue and expenditure decisions made
- ☐ Promote accountability to the local community for decisions made

The final step of reporting is for the municipality to consider and adopt the annual report in light of the Findings contained in the Oversight report. In terms of the new guidelines, the oversight report must be compiled by MPAC in consultation with members of the community and other stakeholders in terms of the provisions of section 129 of the Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act.

Municipal Public Accounts Committee appointed by council in accordance with the provisions of section 79 (1) (a)(b) and (c) of the Municipal Structures Act 1998, to amongst other to oversee the content of the annual report on its behalf.

Madibeng Local Municipality's Municipal Public Accounts Committee, which was established in December 2007 as per Council resolution **A.0107** consisting of 13 members The new (current) committee was elected during the month of November

- ☐ Approved the Annual Report with or without reservations;
- ☐ Rejected the Annual Report; or
- ☐ Has referred the Annual report back for revision of those components that can be resolved.

and submission of the same to relevant authorities.

#### 6. 2019/20 OVERSIGHT ON THE ANNUAL REPORT PROCESS PLAN

The municipality embarks on ward based public participation to solicit comments and/or questions on its annual report in line with public participation policy. MPAC

as per resolution **A.0519** dated the 07 December 2022 following the election of new councillors during the month of November 2022. The resolution is attached herewith as **ANNEXURE C**.

The 2020/2021 annual report was tabled in council on the 27 January 2022. Section 127 (2) of the MFMA, 56 of 2003 which states that the mayor of the municipality must, within seven months after the end of a financial year, table in the municipal council the annual report of the municipality and of any municipal entity under the municipality's sole or shared control. The annual report was however tabled without required components in line with section 121 of the MFMA after seven months as per section 127 of the MFMA due to late finalization of audit. Outstanding Components were tabled on the 31 March 2022 after receiving audited financial statements from the AGSA. See council resolution as **ANNEXURE D** and AG letter as **ANNEXURE E** respectively.

#### 5. LEGISLATIVE MANDATE FOR THE OVERSIGHT REPORT

In terms of section 121 of the Municipal Finance Management Act, the Municipality must prepare and adopt the annual report within a period of nine (9) months after the end of the financial year in terms of sections 127 and 129 of the Act. The Municipality is further required to deal with the annual report in accordance with the following provision of the Act:

- ☐ **Section 127:** *submission and tabling of the annual report in Council within a period of seven (7) months after the end of the financial year, and submission of the annual report to public participation process in terms of section 21A of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act, inviting the community to submit comments on the annual report.*
- ☐ **Section 129:** *consideration of the annual report by Council within a period of two (2) months after the report has been tabled in terms of section 127 of the Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act and subsequent adoption of the Oversight Report containing the Council comments on the annual report, which must include a statement whether the council has:*

held its meeting on the 21<sup>st</sup> April 2022 to adopt the public participation program for the 2021/2022 annual report. The program was advertised on the Municipal website, and also through Madibeng FM. Attached is the program as **ANNEXURE F**. Copies were also placed at the Municipal Libraries, Main building and satellite offices.

The Chairperson of MPAC, Cllr Sekhoto was given an opportunity to also address the community through radio, Madibeng FM on the 28<sup>th</sup> April 2022 to encourage the community to come and participate on the program.



## 7. ANNUAL REPORT CHECK LIST

## CHECK LIST OF THE ANNUAL REPORT AS PER SECTION 121 (3) OF MFMA, 56 OF 2003 AND MFMA CIRCULAR 11

CONTENT OF THE ANNUAL REPORT	YES/NO
a) Annual Financial Statement of the municipality	yes
b) AG's report on the financial statements	yes
c) Annual Performance report of the municipality prepared by the municipality in terms of section 46 of the municipal systems act.	yes
d) Auditor General's report in terms of section 45 (b) of the Municipal System Act	Yes
e) An assessment by the Accounting officer of any arrears on municipal taxes and services	yes
f) Assessment by the municipality's accounting officer of the municipality's performance against the measurable performance objectives referred to in section 17 (3) (b) for revenue collection from each revenue source and for each vote in the municipality's approved budget for the relevant financial year.	yes
g) Particulars of corrective action taken or to be taken or to be taken in response to issues raised in the audit reports referred to in paragraphs (b) and (d)	yes
h) Any explanation that maybe necessary to clarify issues in connection with the financial statement	yes
i) Any information as determined by the municipality	yes
j) Any recommendations of the municipal's audit committee	yes
k) Any other information as may be prescribed	yes

## 8. COMMENTS ON THE COMPONENTS OF THE ANNUAL REPORT

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENT	COMPLIANCES	RESPONSE	RECOMMENDED CORRECTIVE ACTION
Annual financial statements- Section 121(3) (4); of MFMA.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Have the AFS of the municipality and that of municipal entity been included in the annual report?</li> <li>2. Are both annual financial statement and annual report been audited</li> <li>3. Are the AFS compliant with GRAP?</li> <li>4. Is the audit report been included in the tabled annual report?</li> <li>5. Any explanations that may clarify issues in connection with the financial statement?</li> <li>6. Any assessment by the accounting officer on arrears on municipal taxes and service charges including that of MODA?</li> <li>7. Any comment by the audit committee in relation to the AFS?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
Supply Chain Management Regulations and Policy	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Has certain disclosures of SCM matters been included in the annual report as required.</li> </ol>	Yes	

## 1.1 DIVISION OF REVENUE ACT

SECTION 123 OF MFMA AND CIRCULAR 11	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Has the municipality had any allocation per DORA delayed or withheld</li> <li>2. Is there any disclosure on allocation made by the municipality to an organ of state, municipal entity or other municipality?</li> <li>3. Are all compulsory disclosures contained in the notes to the Annual Financial Statements?</li> <li>4. Has the municipality complied with the conditions of the grant?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
SECTION 124 (1) (2) Disclosure of councillors, Directors and Officials in the notes to the AFS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Have the salaries, allowances and benefits paid to councillors and the Municipal Manager, CFO and senior been disclosed?</li> <li>2. Is there a statement by the Accounting Officer, stating that salaries, allowance and benefits paid to councillors are within the upper limits of the framework envisage in section 219 of the constitution?</li> <li>3. Have arrears for rates and services owed by councillors, in which the arrears was more than 90 days been disclosed including the name of the councillor?</li> <li>4. Have salaries of the board of MUDA, CEO and senior managers been disclosed?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>N/A</p>	



## 1.2 MUNICIPAL SYSTEMS ACT- PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT

LEGISLATIVE RE- QUIREMENT	COMPLIANCES	RESPONSE	RECOMMENDED CORRECTIVE ACTION
Section 46 of the MUNICIPAL SYSTEMS ACT  Annual Performance reports of the Municipality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Has the performance report been included in the annual report?</li> <li>Have all the performance target set in the budget, SDBIP, service agreements etc. been included in the report?</li> <li>Does the performance evaluation in the annual report compare actual performance with target expressed in the budget and SDBIP approved for the financial year?</li> <li>In terms of key functions or services, how has each performed?</li> <li>To what extend have targets been met?</li> <li>Are council and community satisfied with the performance?</li> <li>What actions have been taken and planned to improve performance?</li> <li>Did the target set in the budgets, SDBIP agree with the targets set in the performance contracts of the municipal manager and other senior manager?</li> <li>Does the report evaluate the efficiency of mechanism applied to deliver the performance outcomes?</li> <li>Taking into account the audit report and opinion and the views of the audit committee, is performance considered to be efficient and effective?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Unsatisfactory</p> <p>Poor</p> <p>No</p> <p>Monitoring of PAAP</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	
PERFORMANCE OF MUNICIPAL ENTITIES AND MUNICIPAL SERVICE PROVIDERS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Has an assessment been included in the Annual Report on the performance of the municipal entities?</li> <li>Has the assessment been included in the annual report on the performance of all contracted service providers?</li> </ol>	<p>N/A</p> <p>Yes</p>	

## 1.3 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

LEGISLATIVE REQUIRE- MENT	COMPLIANCES	RESPONSE	RECOMMENDED CORRECTIVE ACTION
Section 46 of the MUNICIPAL SYSTEMS ACT  Annual Performance reports of the Municipality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Has the performance report been included in the annual report?</li> <li>Have all the performance target set in the budget, SDBIP, service agreements etc. been included in the report?</li> <li>Does the performance evaluation in the annual report compare actual performance with target expressed in the budget and SDBIP approved for the financial year?</li> <li>In terms of key functions or services, how has each performed?</li> <li>To what extend have targets been met?</li> <li>Are council and community satisfied with the performance?</li> <li>What actions have been taken and planned to improve performance?</li> <li>Did the target set in the budgets, SDBIP agree with the targets set in the performance contracts of the municipal manager and other senior manager?</li> <li>Does the report evaluate the efficiency of mechanism applied to deliver the performance outcomes?</li> <li>Taking into account the audit report and opinion and the views of the audit committee, is performance considered to be efficient and effective?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Unsatisfactor</p> <p>Poor</p> <p>No</p> <p>Monitoring of PAAP</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	
PERFORMANCE OF MUNICIPAL ENTITIES AND MUNICIPAL SERVICE PROVIDERS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Has an assessment been included in the Annual Report on the performance of the municipal entities?</li> <li>Has the assessment been included in the annual report on the performance of all contracted service providers?</li> </ol>	<p>N/A</p> <p>Yes</p>	



## 1.4 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENT	COMPLIANCES	RESPOND	RECOMMENDED CORRECTIVE ACTION
Section 127 (2);130 (1) (2)(3)and section 21A of MSA  Council meetings open to public and certain public official	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Was the public invited to the council sitting where the annual report was considered?</li> <li>2. Did the Accounting Officer make public the annual report?</li> <li>3. Was the annual report submitted to the AG; PT and DLG&amp;TA?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>None</p> <p>None</p> <p>None</p>
WRITTEN COMMENTS	1. Did the municipality receive any written submission on the Annual Report?	Yes	

## 9. QUESTIONS AND REPONSES ON THE ANNUAL REPORT

## 9.1. Management comments

No comments from management were received.

## 9.2. Councillors and ward committee inputs

Comments were received from Councillors or ward committee members during public participation meetings.

## 9.3. Public &amp; interested parties comments on the annual report

MPAC commenced with its public participation on the 25<sup>th</sup> April 2022. The first two meetings that were scheduled in Legonyane and Maboloka were not successful due to Councillors dissatisfaction with communicating the program on time.

The program was halted for the Speaker to engage with ward Councillors on the importance of the program however the programme was subsequently change to meet the schedule of ward Councillors. A new program was developed. See **ANNEXURE G**.

The following questions and comments were asked:

Cluster 1 Ward (3, 8, 24, 36, 37, & 34)	
QUESTIONS/COMMENTS	ANSWERS
<p><b>Mr Lebope from ward 36</b> He is very concerned about the ongoing usage of water tankers, and he regarded this as sign of perpetuation of corrupt activities by individuals Municipal Employees in collaboration with some service providers.</p> <p><b>Mr Tshabalala from ward 08</b> He reported that in some areas, pipes are buried underground and there is no water coming from the taps. He urges MPAC to investigate and improve on sites visits.</p> <p><b>Mr N Kekana from Ward 24 Ndlovu Section.</b> He indicated that there is no service delivery in their section, he requested that they be catered in the 2022/2023 Budget. He also highlighted that in some areas there are life time or never ending projects.</p> <p><b>Mr Makole of ward 03</b> He complained of poor monitoring of active projects which resulted in over spending, and create a space for looting especially unfinished water projects that resulted into the use of water tankers.</p> <p>He urges municipality to increase maintenance budget and use credible contractors or municipal workers entrusted with the infrastructure maintenance.</p> <p><b>Mr Bogoshi Maleka from ward 37</b> He explained that he is sad and very disappointed about the sanitation project, the project is a mess, money is wasted and people are not held accountable. Sewage spillage is running into his yard and of that of his neighbours, He further highlighted that the Community hall is not in good condition. It needs to be renovated.</p> <p><b>Mr Kenny Phetlhe from ward 08</b> His concern is about implementation status indicating close to hundred percent conclusion in some of the projects, but the reality on the ground suggest something else.</p> <p>Budget exhausted but no sign of the final product on water supply and sanitation project.</p> <p>Main Contracting is awarded to outside constructors who does not have skill or welfare of the community at heart.</p> <p>Capital projects are planned and funded but no monitoring and no consequence management.</p>	<p>On unfinished projects especially concerned raised on sanitation and water projects, some projects are multi- phased projects and will run more than one financial year.</p> <p>The issue of why certain projects are done on top of those already done thereby causing damage to existing infrastructure is the sign of poor planning</p> <p>On Unfinished projects including the hall, the Municipality must maintain existing infrastructure, and complete unfinished ones.</p> <p>Adjustment on prices for projects, the internal probe will show how the money was spent and if there is any need for further steps to be taken.</p> <p>CIlr RP Padi committed the committee to make an arrangement to come back on a later stage to provide feedback and action taken to address the plight and frustrations of the community.</p> <p>The committee welcomes all the comments and appreciated the community for their honest and fear contribution.</p>







**Mr Chauke and Ms Thabani from Ward 36**

Their concern is a lack of accountability, lack of monitoring and lack of regular feedback on underperforming and stalled projects. Millions of rands are wasted and undetected.

**Ms Nkuwe from Lake Site.**

They pleaded with the Municipality to formalize lakeside, because there is no development in their new settlement. Their biggest challenge is water shortage.

**Ms Yvonne Moagi from ward 08**

She explained that in their ward, water project started last year but to date there is no water and roads are damaged. Klipgat condition has deteriorated since the start of this project.

**Ms Evelyn Mmuledi from ward 34**

Upgrading of internal roads in cluster 7, ward 34 never benefited from this project. She requested the Municipality to include ward 34 in the new financial year.

**Ms Maggy from New stands**

She reckoned that new stand is and old settlement but to here surprised new settlement like hill- site is improving and they are more preferred from any other areas. They have running water, electricity and paved roads. New stands need to be considered in a new budget.

**Ms Mampane and Mr Buti Moekeletsj.**

Water and Toiles project not helping them because there is no water for flushing and toilets hole is only one meter deep and it gets full quickly. They end up resorting to the old system of digging the old order toilets.





## Cluster 7 (Ward 13, 14, 23 and 39)

## QUESTIONS/COMMENTS

**Mr Patric Matsemela from ward 39**

His concern was more related to assessment of the performance of external service providers during 2020/2021 financial year.

The emphasis was on the security services of Phiri – Phiri services, Triotic Services and white Leopard Security multi year Projects which are running concurrently for the period of three years. He does not understand the logic behind the arrangement. Phiri Phiri said to be terminated on the 30 December 2021 but still operating.

**Mr Nelson Kgosietsile from ward 39**

He fails to understand why municipality is not reaching out to rural communities, essential services like water and proper health care not provided by the local municipality including Companies that are mining in the area.

He urges the Municipality to accommodate Dekroon in the budget of the new financial year.

**Ms Adrie Fernandez from ward 14**

She explained that rural and farming communities are paying rates and taxes that include huge property tax, but they are left out as far as service delivery and development is concern. They demand maintenance of roads, infrastructure development and revaluation of property tax.

**Mr Peter Modise from ward 14**

He is more concerned about vacancy rate. Municipality is under-staffed according to the report, but nothing is being done. There is a lot of unemployment especially amongst the youth. This issue need to be prioritized to address triple challenges of unemployment, poverty and inequality.

**Mr Vusi Makhabe from ward 14**

He questioned the non-attendance of ward 21 and ward 22 and further highlighted the plight of youth in terms of empowerment. He feels that the municipality is not doing enough to address challenges faced by youth. He urges the municipality to use municipal levers such as land to support their economics, youth development in ward 13 and 14 through agricultural and commercial farming

## ANSWERS

Mr Mr Tsotetsi

On the issue of water shortage, he explained that bulk supply is still a challenge. Revenue enhancement policy to be revisited to include rural areas.

On Vacancy rate he indicated that in this financial year they will seek clear guidance from Corporate Support Service in terms of vacant vacancies.

On youth development and farming, support programs from Local Economic development and tourism can be utilized and local government should develop economic partnership with communities especially youth.

On the nonattendance of ward 21 and ward 22, MPAC to check the reason and schedule a date to accommodate both wards

On the issue of Security service providers, MPAC to investigate and prepare a report in this regard.

On other service delivery issues the draft budget is trying to address some of the issues.





## Cluster 9 (ward 4, 5, 6 and 34)

QUESTIONS/COMMENTS	ANSWERS
<p><b><u>Ms. kamogelo from Ward 06</u></b> She is said, it is very painful that since the inception of Madibeng Local Municipality there is no visible evidence, concerning the plight of physical challenged or disable people. They are ignored as far as employment is concerned. She demanded to be employed.</p> <p><b><u>Mr. Sello Moja from ward 34</u></b> The community of Ramogatla want real development, the state of provincial road that connect all areas within ward 34 is not welcomed.</p> <p><b><u>Mr Oupa Mashiloane from ward 5</u></b> He pleaded with the Municipality to give the community of Cluster 7 better life. Services such as water is life, Sanitation is dignity, roads and electricity are necessities together with bridges connect the villages, and children are able to go to school.</p> <p>He explained that due to corruption and no consequence management Contractors such as Dinare Trading Enterprise, multi-phase project that is upgrading of internal road cluster 7 Mabololoka ward 4 is a disaster and the contractor is not held accountable.</p> <p>They have also left the bridge unfinished.</p> <p><b><u>Mr. Moses Motholo from ward 6</u></b> He complained about Mabitse civil works, that was awarded R20 million water project and there is no water even today.</p> <p>He alleged that PMU officials are aware and nothing is being done, is business as usual.</p> <p>Storm water project to the value of R9 831, 000, 00 was reported as a failed project</p> <p>The Community has long request MPAC to investigate and they are still awaiting the findings.</p> <p><b><u>Mr Fistos Moalosi WARD 34</u></b> He is deeply concern about the state of gravel road and internal road at Ramogatla. He also reported that water network was established but there is no water.</p> <p><b><u>Mr Vincent Leew ward 4</u></b> He wanted to understand why the Municipality failed to attend to a bridge which poses a danger to the community for number of years.</p> <p>Dinare Trading enterprise is not taking the community of Maboloka serious and the Municipality is silence on this matter.</p> <p>Mr Sadira Dinae Trading Enterprise Employee</p> <p>He asked the Municipality to treat the issue of Dinare as the matter of urgency. He applauded other contractor's like Ditshimega projects and Trading for work well-done.</p> <p><b><u>Mr Sydney Magagula from ward 4</u></b> He also commended Ditshimega for the quality work, feedback and good relationship with the community.</p> <p><b><u>Mr.Dlamini from ward 4</u></b> He requested that Community of Ramogatla and Madinyane be included in EPWP and hiring General Workers. He complained about VIP Toilets not emptied and pose health- hazards to the users.</p> <p><b><u>Mr. Frank from ward 34 and James of ward 5</u></b> They urge the Municipality to use Maintenance Budget wisely and road budget 2022/2023 to cater for unfinished roads projects, Ramogatla road and Malekgoro road leading to the clinic to be prioritized.</p> <p><b><u>Ms Jenifer from ward 5</u></b> He requested the cleaning and fencing of the cemetery</p> <p><b><u>Mr Sonnyboy ward 34</u></b> Augmentation of boreholes projects is a disgrace</p> <p><b><u>Mr Paulos Mashayi ward 34</u></b> He requested the prioritization of access roads and high mast lights in Mmalerato, Boreholes to be energized.</p> <p><b><u>Ms. Susan and Mr John both from ward 5</u></b> They requested cleaning and maintenance of VIP toiles at Lethabong section.</p>	<p>Mr levy Motlhamme responded by saying the Municipality is engaging with the Province on how to address the issues of Ramogatla road, temporarily there was and engagement between MAJETO and the province to bring in the grader to gravel the road.</p> <p>Concerning the Dinare Trading Projects, Dinare is awarded 14 days to rectify the mistakes</p> <p>The Municipality will make sure the frustrations of the community will be addressed and MPAC is well placed to investigate and make sure those involve in corruption are held accountable.</p> <p>He also appeal to the MPAC to persuade other Directors to attend Public Participation meeting</p> <p>Cllr J Ratele thanks all who interrupted their busy schedule to attend the meeting Special thanks to the youth for their comments and voicing out their frustration.</p>







### Cluster 2 (wards 17,18 and 19 )

#### QUESTIONS/COMMENTS

##### Mr. Tshidi

He complained about the late distribution of the annual report documents and that there is no projector or visuals that assist community members who did not have documents.

Various community members made their comments. However, the comment were not relevant the 2020-21 annual report but the Draft budget and the IDP processes.

#### ANSWERS

The slow process of formalising settlements around the ward 17, 18 and clusters.

That the Mmakau area has limited space for cemeteries.

That the Department of Economic Development, Tourism and Agricultural in the municipality does not support local businesses.

Land parcels identified for graveyard sold for residential stands.



### Cluster 4 (wards 7,25,28 &31)

#### QUESTIONS/COMMENTS

##### Community member 1

Complained about lack of documents

The community did not have the annual report document. Therefore, most of the comment were irrelevant to the 2020-21 annual reports. The comments were as follows.

- The cluster wards of (7,25,28 &31) do not have enough land for grave yards
- There is no development in the cluster i.e. now water services and proper roads, no mass lights and boreholes for water provision
- There is no waste removal services
- There is no community hall

#### ANSWERS





## Cluster 3 (wards 29,30 &amp; 33)

## QUESTIONS/COMMENTS

## ANSWERS

**Ms Malula**

Complained about the late distribution of the annual report documents and pointed out that the inaccurate information of page 117 of the annual report.

**Moses (Ward 29)**

Complained about their settlement not being formalised yet.

Various community members made their comments. However, the comment were not relevant the 2020-21 annual report but the Draft budget and the IDP processes.

**The comments were as follows.**

1. Slow township formalisation processes
2. There are no skip bins
3. There is transparency regarding the recruitment of EPWP
4. There must be a team that cleans the Hartebeespoort area



## Cluster 5 (wards 1 &amp; 2)

## QUESTIONS/COMMENTS

## ANSWERS

**Sephai resident**

Raised concerns about service providers mining sand free in Sephai and Fafung

Fafung resident

Complained that the municipality does not inspect the project properly as most of them are of poor quality.

**Lucky Tabane (Ward 2)**

There is no water provision in ward 2.

**Katlego (Rasai)**

Rasai road has potholes.

**Mr. Masango (Fafung)**

Recommended that the municipality distribute the annual report on time in the future and that the municipality investigate the internal roads upgrade projects.

The report figures on the borehole project does not reflect the truth because the boreholes are not working.

**Mr. Sambo (Mmupudung)**

The Disclaimer audit opinion is a cause for concern, that MPAC should ensure that there is an improved audit opinion, complained about poor performance of portfolio committees and that the municipality charge those municipal officials who do not disclose their financial interest.



## Cluster 7 (wards 10,15,16 &amp; 41)

QUESTIONS/COMMENTS	ANSWERS
<p><b><u>Ms. Mmabatho Mogosi (Ward 10)</u></b> The water reticulation project is incomplete.</p> <p><b><u>Mr. Ditshego</u></b> Not happy with the content of the report as a whole because it has already a Disclaimer audit opinion. That there is lack of oversight on municipal projects and the inconsistency of senior managers in the municipality.</p> <p><b><u>Resident (Phase 5, Ward 16)</u></b> Requested a breakdown of the Hebron/Itsoseng/Rockville water reticulation project.</p> <p><b><u>Phalatse (Ward 16)</u></b> Hebron main road is in a bad condition</p> <p><b><u>Katlego Mabala</u></b> There is no water in their ward</p> <p><b><u>Resident (Block E, Ward 10)</u></b> There are no proper road, ambulances and hearses cannot access the houses in their area.</p> <p><b><u>Dikobe (Block E, Ward 10)</u></b> There is no oversight on municipal projects</p>	



## Cluster 11 (wards 20 &amp; 21)

QUESTIONS/COMMENTS	ANSWERS
<p><b><u>Ms. Mmabatho Mogosi (Ward 10)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Ms Mmule Seema (Ward 20)</u></b> Raised a concern that according to the Annual Report, the municipality spent R10m waste management services. However, there were no waste management services in their ward.</p> <p><b><u>A ward committee member</u></b> Asked about the discrepancy in the funds reported for a project in their ward.</p> <p><b><u>Mr Ruben Nelson</u></b> Raised concerns about non-implementation of the budget and that the municipality has a budget for land acquisition and there is no development.</p> <p><b><u>Mr. Alfred Moerane</u></b> Said he is concerned that the municipality does not align the budget to the IDP</p> <p><b><u>Ms Buang (Ward 20)</u></b> Wanted to know the service provider in Mothotlung gravesite.</p> <p><b><u>Mr. Moses Chauke</u></b> Was not happy about the notice given for the oversight meeting.</p> <p><b><u>Mr. Lebogo</u></b> Said the that the Annual Report is silent on land distribution</p>	







### Cluster 11 (wards 20 & 21)

#### QUESTIONS/COMMENTS

##### **Mr Andre. Mooinooi**

His question was on what did the municipality do for them and the progress for the past 5 years

##### **Ms Gladys.ward 32**

The presenter did not speak about the audit report included in the annual report and the audited financial statements?

What plans does the municipality have since the end of the administration?

Which wards received relieve fund and how is the allocation done?

##### **Mr Tumelo. Mamba section**

The annual report refers to houses being built. Where are this houses?

What is the criteria of employment in the EPWP?

##### **Mr Serero Molapo, Sara Jeff and Mr Tumelo**

Why are other clusters not included in the report.

#### ANSWERS

Ms Motswatswe mentioned that the CPW appointed people in Sonop. It is a COGTA program of which the municipality only facilitates

People employed in the program will be employed until death as per CPW guidelines however the municipality has written to COGTA to consider the employment until the age of 60

Applications received for street trading were mostly from Brits

Residential stimula funding considers farmers earning between R2000 – R9000 range

The municipality received a disclaimer opinion from the AG

Mr André Wiesner Glencore Operations South Africa (Pty) Ltd sent his comments/questions through the office of the Municipal Manager. See attached email as ANNEXURE H. The meeting scheduled for Letlhabile community hall did not materialised due to disruptions by the community.

Below are the pictures taken during the radio interview:



**10. 2020/2021 PROJECTS SITE INSPECTION REPORTS**

MPAC did not take part in any project site inspection during the 2020/2021 financial year.

**11. MFMA SECTION 32 REPORT**

During the 2020/2021 financial year, National Treasury threatened to withdraw the Municipality's equitable share and notified the Municipality to prepare the strategy

on reducing the U.I.F&W expenditures. Chief Financial Officer, Mr Lefutswe tabled before Council a recovery plan on the 24th November 2020. See attached report as ANNEXURE I. Council referred the matter to MPAC for further scrutiny.

The following are expenditures that MPAC dealt with during the 2020/2021 financial year:

The following are expenditures that MPAC dealt with during the 2020/2021 financial year:

DATE OF MPAC MEETING	EXPENDITURE	AMOUNT
18 March 2021	1. Unauthorised expenditure (Human Settlements Department) Amount to be written off by Council. Expenditure not "in vain"	R 8 714 525

MPAC U.I.F investigation reports and minutes of the meetings attached as ANNEXURE J. attached on the report is also the 2020/2021 annual report tabled before Council on the 30th March 2022 as ANNEXURE K.

CLLR S.S SEKHOTO

**CHAIRPERSON MPAC**

